Acta Crystallographica Section E

## Structure Reports

Online
ISSN 1600-5368

## Sophie Beaudoin,* Davit Zargarian, Francine BélangerGariépy and Frédéric-Georges Fontaine

Département de Chimie, Université de Montréal, CP 6128, Succ. Centre-ville, Montréal, Québec, Canada H3C 3J7

Correspondence e-mail: fontaifr@yahoo.ca

## Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study
$T=220 \mathrm{~K}$
Mean $\sigma(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C})=0.007 \AA$
$R$ factor $=0.052$
$w R$ factor $=0.138$
Data-to-parameter ratio $=16.6$
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## [1,2-Bis(diphenylphosphino)ethane]dibromonickel(II) tetrahydrofuran solvate

The title complex, $\left[\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dppe}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}\right]$.THF, where dppe is bis(diphenylphosphino) ethane $\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ and THF is tetrahydrofuran $\left(\mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}\right)$, consists of a square-planar Ni center coordinated by the chelating phosphine ligand and by two cis -Br atoms. One molecule of THF is included in the asymmetric unit.

## Comment

In the course of our studies, the formation of $\mathrm{Ni}(\mathrm{dppe}) \mathrm{Br}_{2}$ was observed as a by-product for the reaction of $\mathrm{Ni}(\text { dppe })_{2}$ with N bromophthalimide. Even though this compound is well known as a good starting product, only the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ adduct has been previously characterized using X-ray crystallography (Rahn et al., 1989). The structure of the THF adduct, (I) (Fig. 1), is

(I)
isostructural with the one previously reported for the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ analog, having a slightly larger volume (about $20 \AA^{3}$ ), presumably because of the larger volume of THF compared to $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. The THF adduct adopts a pseudo-square planar geometry with a $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{P}$ bite angle of $89.97(5)^{\circ}$ and an angle of 93.93 (4) ${ }^{\circ}$ between the Br atoms. We note that the $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{P}$ bond lengths [2.1573 (14) and $2.1603(14) \AA$ ] are also


Figure 1
SHELXTL (Bruker, 1997) drawing of the title molecule, showing 30\% probability displacement ellipsoids and the atom-numbering scheme.
$\qquad$
slightly longer in the THF adduct than the corresponding distances in the $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ adduct [ 2.141 (1) and 2.156 (1) $\AA$ ], but both $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Br}$ bonds are very similar. In this structure, there is a stacking interaction between the THF ring and the C121C126 phenyl ring, as shown in Fig. 2.

## Experimental

Using Schlenk techniques, THF ( 10 ml ) was added to a mixture of $\mathrm{Ni}(\text { dppe })_{2}(40 \mathrm{mg}, 0.047 \mathrm{mmol})$ and $N$-bromophthalimide $(13 \mathrm{mg}$, 0.058 mmol ) and stirred for 5 min . A large excess of hexanes was then added to the solution, resulting, after 12 h , in the formation of darkred crystals. The filtrate was removed and the solid dried under $\mathrm{N}_{2}$.

## Crystal data

$\left[\mathrm{NiBr}_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{26} \mathrm{H}_{24} \mathrm{P}_{2}\right)\right] \cdot \mathrm{C}_{4} \mathrm{H}_{8} \mathrm{O}$
$M_{r}=689.03$
Monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c$
$a=11.709$ (5) Å
$b=14.551$ (4) $\AA$
$c=17.558$ (6) $\AA$
$\beta=107.38(3)^{\circ}$
$V=2854.9(17) \AA^{3}$
$Z=4$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D_{x}=1.603 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{~m}^{-3} \\
& \mathrm{Cu} K \alpha \text { radiation } \\
& \text { Cell parameters from } 25 \\
& \quad \text { reflections } \\
& \theta=20.0-21.0^{\circ} \\
& \mu=5.48 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1} \\
& T=220(2) \mathrm{K} \\
& \text { Block, dark red } \\
& 0.57 \times 0.15 \times 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Data collection

Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer
$\omega / 2 \theta$ scans $\omega / 2 \theta$ scans
Absorption correction: by integration (ABSORP in NRCVAX; Gabe et al., 1989)
$T_{\text {min }}=0.234, T_{\text {max }}=0.573$
6651 measured reflections
5425 independent reflections
4582 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$

## Refinement

Refinement on $F^{2}$
$R\left[F^{2}>2 \sigma\left(F^{2}\right)\right]=0.052$
$w R\left(F^{2}\right)=0.138$
$S=1.08$
5425 reflections
326 parameters
H -atom parameters constrained

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{\text {int }}=0.038 \\
& \theta_{\max }=70.0^{\circ} \\
& h=-14 \rightarrow 14 \\
& k=-17 \rightarrow 17 \\
& l=-21 \rightarrow 21 \\
& 5 \text { standard reflections } \\
& \quad \text { frequency: } 60 \text { min } \\
& \quad \text { intensity decay: none }
\end{aligned}
$$

$w=1 /\left[\sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}\right)+(0.1052 P)^{2}\right]$ where $P=\left(F_{o}{ }^{2}+2 F_{c}{ }^{2}\right) / 3$
$(\Delta / \sigma)_{\max }=0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}=1.80 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\min }=-1.12 \mathrm{e}^{-3}$
Extinction correction: SHELXL96 (Sheldrick, 1996)
Extinction coefficient: 0.00115 (13)

Table 1
Selected geometric parameters ( $\AA{ }^{\circ}{ }^{\circ}$ ).

| $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{P} 2$ | $2.1573(14)$ | $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Br} 1$ | $2.3212(12)$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{P} 1$ | $2.1603(14)$ | $\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Br} 2$ | $2.3419(12)$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{P} 1$ | $86.97(5)$ | $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Br} 2$ | $88.92(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P} 2-\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Br} 1$ | $175.13(4)$ | $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Br} 2$ | $175.46(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{P} 1-\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Br} 1$ | $90.02(5)$ | $\mathrm{Br} 1-\mathrm{Ni}-\mathrm{Br} 2$ | $93.95(4)$ |

The space group was confirmed by the PLATON program (Spek, 1995). Data reduction was performed using a locally modified version of the NRC-2 program (Ahmed et al., 1973). The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997) and difmap synthesis using SHELXTL (Bruker, 1997) and SHELXL96 (Sheldrick, 1996). H atoms were constrained to ride on the attached atoms; SHELXL 96 defaults, $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=0.94-0.98 \AA$. The isotropic displacement parameters, $U_{\text {iso }}$, were set to values $20 \%$ higher than those of the attached atoms. A final verification of possible voids was performed using the VOID routine of the PLATON program (Spek, 1995).


Figure 2
SHELXTL (Bruker, 1997) drawing of the unit-cell contents showing the interaction between THF and phenyl rings. Ellipsoids are drawn at the $30 \%$ probability level.

Data collection: CAD-4 Software (Enraf-Nonius, 1989); cell refinement: CAD-4 Software; data reduction: NRC-2 and NRC-2A (Ahmed et al., 1973); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL96 (Sheldrick, 1996); molecular graphics: SHELXTL (Bruker, 1997).
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